

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RALPH R. PANIELLO, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Defendant.

No. C 13-04524 CW

ORDER DIRECTING  
PARTIES TO INFORM  
COURT WHETHER THEY  
CONSENT TO A  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR  
ALL PURPOSES

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b).

Accordingly, the parties are hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than October 24, 2013, as to whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action.<sup>1</sup> For the parties' convenience, a consent form is attached hereto; forms are also available at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 10, 2013



CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge

<sup>1</sup>Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their Joint Case Management Statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.